## Influence of size effect on chemical reactions on surface of aerosol nanoparticles

V.V. Levdansky<sup>1</sup>, J. Smolík<sup>2</sup>, V. Ždímal<sup>2</sup> and P. Moravec<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>A.V. Luikov Heat and Mass Transfer Institute NASB, 15 P. Brovka Str., 220072 Minsk, Belarus

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Chemical Process Fundamentals AS CR, v.v.i., Rozvojova 135, 165 02 Prague 6, Czech Republic

Keywords: aerosol, nanoparticles, chemical reaction.

Presenting author email: vlev5@yahoo.com

Chemical reactions in aerosol systems are paramount for a number of processes occurring in the atmosphere and in various areas of chemical technology. In the case of the nanoscale aerosol particles the particle size can influence the rate of a chemical reaction on the nanoparticle surface.

The nanoparticle size can affect the activation energy of a chemical reaction (Lu and Meng, 2010) as well as the Langmuir constant for adsorption of the reactant molecules (Murzin, 2009). A decrease in the activation energy of a chemical reaction with a reduction in the nanoparticle size leads to an increase in the reactive uptake coefficient. A decrease in the Langmuir adsorption constant with a reduction in the nanoparticle size can reduce the reactive uptake coefficient. Here we consider the joint influence of the mentioned factors on the reactive uptake coefficient for the Eley-Rideal mechanism of a chemical reaction on the nanoparticle surface.

The reactive uptake coefficient  $\gamma_r$  for the Eley-Rideal mechanism of a chemical reaction is given by (Crowley *et al.*, 2010)

$$\gamma_{\rm r} = \alpha_{\rm r} \theta_{\rm B} \,, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\alpha_r$  is the elementary reaction probability in the collision of the gas phase reactant molecule A with the adsorbed reactant molecule B,  $\theta_B$  is the surface coverage related to adsorption of molecules of the component B (for simplicity, we neglect here adsorption of molecules of the component A and the reaction product).

Taking into account the Langmuir model for adsorption and the size dependence of the Langmuir adsorption constant (Murzin, 2009), the activation energy of a chemical reaction (Lu and Meng, 2010) as well as the melting temperature and surface tension (Rekhviashvili and Kishtikova, 2006), the value of  $\gamma_r$  for the nanoparticle ( $\gamma_{rp}$ ) can be written as

$$\gamma_{\rm rp} = \alpha_{\rm rw} \exp\left(\frac{4E_{\rm rw}\delta}{RTd}\right) \frac{P_{\rm B}K_{\rm Bw}\exp(-\xi)}{1+P_{\rm B}K_{\rm Bw}\exp(-\xi)}.$$
 (2)

Here  $\alpha_{r\infty}$  is the value of  $\alpha_r$  without considering the size effect, *d* is the particle diameter,  $\delta$  is the Tolman length (it is assumed that  $d \gg \delta$ ),  $E_{r\infty}$  is the activation energy of a chemical reaction on the surface of bulk matter, *R* is the gas constant, *T* is the temperature,  $P_B$  is the partial pressure of the component B in a gas phase,  $K_{B\infty}$  is the Langmuir adsorption constant for adsorption of the

component B on the surface of bulk matter, the value of  $\xi$  is given by

$$\xi = \frac{4\sigma_{\infty}V_{\rm m}}{dRT} \left(1 - \frac{4\delta}{d}\right),\tag{3}$$

where  $\sigma_{\infty}$  is the surface tension for bulk matter,  $V_{\rm m}$  is the molar volume of a substance forming the nanoparticle.

Figure 1 shows the dependence of  $\gamma^* = \gamma_{rp} / \gamma_{r\infty}$ , where  $\gamma_{r\infty}$  is the reactive uptake coefficient for bulk matter, on the dimensionless diameter  $d^* = d/\delta$  at  $P_{\rm B}K_{\rm B\infty}\exp(-\xi) \ll 1$ ,  $\sigma_{\infty}V_{\rm m}/(E_{r\infty}\delta) = 0.2$  and different values of the parameter  $\psi = E_{r\infty}/(RT)$ . It is seen that  $\gamma^*$ increases with a decrease in  $d^*$  and with a rise in  $\psi$ .



Figure 1. Dependence of  $\gamma^*$  on  $d^*$ ; 1:  $\psi = 35$ , 2:  $\psi = 30$ , 3:  $\psi = 25$ .

This work was supported by GAAVCR project IAA200760905.

- Crowley, J.N., Ammann, M., Cox, R.A., Hynes, R.G., Jenkin, M.E., Mellouki, A., Rossi, M.J., Troe, J. and Wallington, T.J. (2010) Atmos. Chem. Phys. 10, 9059-9223.
- Lu, H.M. and Meng, X.K. (2010) J. Phys. Chem. C 114, 1534-1538.
- Murzin, D.Yu. (2009) Chem. Eng. Sci. 64, 1046-1052.
- Rekhviashvili, S.Sh. and Kishtikova, E.V. (2006) *Tech. Phys. Lett.* **32**, 439-441.